ANIMALS AND ETHICS: KEY CONCEPTS

| Concept | Definition | Example |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Animal Welfare | Animal welfare refers to how a non-human animal is coping with the conditions in which it lives. | A welfarist would not be opposed to horse racing on the grounds that it uses animals for human entertainment. However, they may be opposed to some practices used in horse racing, if those practices (such as, whipping) cause unjustifiable pain to the horses. |
| | A person or organisation adopting an 'animal welfare' approach to animal protection, is referred to as an 'animal welfarist'. | |
| | Animal welfarists are concerned with how well animals are coping with their environment, i.e. whether they are experiencing positive or negative wellbeing. | |
| | For welfarists, it is morally acceptable for humans to use animals for human purposes, so long as that use does not cause unjustifiable or unreasonable pain and suffering. | |

ANIMALS AND ETHICS: KEY CONCEPTS

| Concept | Definition | Example |
|---------|---|--|
| | Animal rights refers to the moral and legal entitlements/rights of non-human animals. | A rights advocate would be opposed to horse racing on the grounds that a horse should not have to race for |
| Animal | A person or organisation adopting an 'animal rights' approach to animal protection is referred to as an 'animal rights advocate'. | human entertainment. They believe that animals have a right to live their life naturally without human |
| Rights | Many rights advocates believe that animals are entitled to enjoy fundamental rights such as the rights to life, health and liberty. | interference, and they should not be forced to perform for humans. Therefore, a rights advocate would |
| | An animal rights advocate would challenge the property status of non-human animals and disagree with the idea that it is morally acceptable for humans to use animals for human purposes. | oppose horse racing even if the horses did not experience pain and suffering. |